

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/664,681	09/19/2003	Demetri Psaltis	0007975-0042	4072
30076	7590 09/28/2006		EXAMINER	
	YSMAN MILLSTEIN	LAMB, CHRISTOPHER RAY		
1880 CENTUR	RY PARK EAST	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ES, CA 90067		2627	
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10	0/664,681	PSALTIS ET AL.					
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit					
		Ch	ristopher R. Lamb	2627					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions o SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply well reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE f 37 CFR 1.136(a). nication. utory period will ap rill, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUI In no event, however, may ply and will expire SIX (6) M the the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on							
• ==	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)🖂	8) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) ☐ Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Other: _	of Informal Patent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species I (Fig. 2: inkjet printing), Species II (no figure: holey fibers), Species III (Fig.3: laser particle shaping). The species are independent or distinct because they are independent means for placing the carriers on the medium: only one is necessary.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-6 and 10 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to John Harriman on September 19th, 2006, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,681

Art Unit: 2627

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Lamb whose telephone number is (572)

Application/Control Number: 10/664,681 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

272-5264. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM Monday to

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CRL 9/26/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600